

Tutorial on Issues in Underwater Robotic Applications



Jim Bellingham Bill Kirkwood Kanna Rajan Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute USA



University of HUDDERSFIELD



Carnegie Mellon



M B A R I



QSS QSS Group, Inc.

DARP







(icaps ICAPS 2006

The English Lake District, Cumbria, UK

Tutorial on Issues in Underwater Robotic Applications

Jim Bellingham Bill Kirkwood Kanna Rajan Monterey Bay Aquarium

Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute USA



Table of contents

Preface	3
Presentation Jim Bellingham, Bill Kirkwood, Kanna Rajan	7

http://icaps06.icaps-conference.org/



Preface

The Ocean Sciences have long been driven by technological advances which have enabled vast swaths of the ocean to be charted, organisms discovered and studied and physical, chemical, biological and geological dynamics of the dominant part of the earths ecosystem to be studied. Yet this observation scheme has only allowed us to charts less than 5% of the sea-floor bottom and and even smaller percentage of the actual water column where the majority of the scientific break-through are thought to exist. Further, the ocean science and engineering have historically, relied on individual scientists working in small groups, building their own, special-purpose instrumentation. These instruments have typically been built in short development cycles, for single missions to measure point data.

In the upcoming 2007 Fiscal 2007 budget, President Bush has asked Congress to authorize one of the largest investments in the sciences by allowing for the construction of Global, Coastal and Regional Cabled observatories by the National Science Foundation. With new technologies for moorings, underwater cables, power systems and nodes they will vastly help resolve measurements of ocean processes on the temporal axis by persistence presence. Such a move from the expeditionary style of science towards an observatory mode of scientific work has created a need to rethink how ocean science will be done in the large and what capabilities will be needed to support it. This has provided the opportunity for a cross-disciplinary impact on the ocean sciences.

Many oceanographic processes have an event-driven nature, such as harmful algal blooms (HABs), continental margin and submarine canyon fluxes, and terrestrial nutrient and pollutant inputs to coastal waters. This underlying event-driven nature requires intelligent observational strategies to resolve and advance understanding of complex oceanographic processes. Such processes, among others, have driven the need for a cross-disciplinary impact.

Finally Artificial Intelligence (AI) based autonomous systems have made significant strides in dealing with important real-world problems. These include dealing with closed-loop control issues for terrestrial and space robotic vehicles, decision support systems dealing with articulation and mobility of robotic vehicles, event response for earth science events, and cockpit resource management for airliners, to name a few. Al based autonomous systems have demonstrated a maturity to tackle significant robotic and automated reasoning problems in the real world.

A primary goal of this tutorial is to present a compelling problem domain with societal relevance and foster innovative ideas and projects for the future. However an equally important objective of this tutorial is to showcase the opportunities and the need in the ocean sciences to enable Computer Scientists to use the maturity of decades of research in automated reasoning to make a significant impact in this domain. The Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute (MBARI) is at the forefront of ocean science and engineering as an elite inter-disciplinary institution, which welcomes such cross-fertilization.

The tutorial will cover basic environmental differences between space, terrestrial and underwater robots. The topics include platform options, governing equations unique to the ocean environment and the associated equipment for doing science in the oceans. Difficult aspects of the environment are addressed along with discussion about how they impact system solutions and instrument design for science. Key differences in optical, electromagnetic and acoustics will be highlighted.

Jim Bellingham, Bill Kirkwood, Kanna Rajan Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute Moss Landing, California {jgb,kiwi,kanna} @mbari.org www.mbari.org

Instructor

• Kanna Rajan, Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, California





































































Tutorial on Challenges in Subsea Robotics

Environmental Conditions - Engineering: Sonar Classes						
Navigation / Tracking:						
Long Baseline – 9 kHz to 15 kHz						
Short Baseline – 15 kHz to 20 kHz						
Ultra-short Baseline – 17 kHz – 30 kHz						
• Mapping:						
Sub-bottom Profiler – 2 kHz to 12 kHz						
Side Scan Sonar – 50 kHz to 250 kHz						
Multibeam Sonar – 30 kHz to 300 kHz						
• Environmental: Acoustic Doppler Current Profiler – 100 kHz to 1 mHz Doppler Velocimeter Log - 100 kHz to 1 mHz						
• Communications: Acoustic Modem - 9 kHz to 17 kHz						
Tomography, Biologic tracking, etc. etc.						
© MBARI 2006 Bellingham, Kirkwood, Rajan June 2006						





M B A R I	Navigation Systems						
	System	Accuracy	Power	Constraint			
	GPS	150-1m	O(1 W)	Surface only			
	Doppler Velocity Log w/ Magnetic AHRS	~1% Dist. Travel	25 W	1m-500m Altitude			
	Correlation Velocity Log w/ Magnetic AHRS	~1% Dist Travel	25W	>500m			
	Long Baseline Acoustic Navigation	5 cm @ 100 m 3 m @ 10 km	O(5 W)	Calibration and deployment			
	USBL Acoustic Navigation	.1% to 10% of Slant Range	0(30 W)	Telemetry from Surface			
	Inertial Navigation System	1 nm/hr (RLG & Hi Perform. IFOG)	12-20 W	Alignment			
	INS/DVL	.05 to .1% Dist Travel	25-40 W	Alignment			
© MBARI 2006				Bellingham, Kirkv	vood, Rajan June 2006		

























Tutorial on Challenges in Subsea Robotics
































































47 4'











м в л	Use Case 3: Event Response
	 Goal: respond to a episodic event trigger ensure the event is of legitimate scientific interest ensure a gradated response using less "expensive" assets to validate event signal followed by deployment of more valuable assets ensure adequate resources (energy, data buffers) available to track event for viable coverage Why is it Challenging?: event signal may be difficult to characterize managing large number of heterogeneous assets in a sensor-web need to deal with time and resources with TBD optimality criteria energy is limited, so the "right" signal should trigger various assets observability of the phenomenon and assets is limited (far from shore)
© MBARI 2006	 need for situational awareness both onboard and onshore in-situ decision making <i>simply does not exist</i>































M B A R	
6/	Some criteria for success in working with MBARI
₩.	Crucial areas of interest in Al/Autonomy
	 Fault Diagnosis and recovery
	 In-situ goal-oriented commanding
	 Resource management of deployed sensor networks
	 Decision-support Mixed-Initiative systems for asset deployment and management
•	Some salient points on how to collaborate:
	 MBARI internal funds <u>cannot</u> be used for external collaborators
	 MBARI external projects are capped at 25% of budget (~ \$10M)
	 MBARI assets can be used for collaborations and can be funded from external sources
	 Working with MBARI scientists is essential; technologists can help
	 Measure of success is using open-source systems which can be used at other oceanographic institutions
	 Non-US collaboration is doable, albeit not the norm
	 Visitors are welcome (including for Sabbaticals)
•	Look for synergistic programs at NSF, NASA, ONR, DARPA etc.
	 Use to test algorithms in a situated setting in the ocean
	 Impact an existing MBARI asset
	 Factor in costs of using the assets
© MBARI 2006	Bellingham, Kirkwood, Rajan June 2006








































